

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS, et al.,

No. C-07-5158 MMC

12 Petitioners,

**ORDER GRANTING PETITIONERS'
MOTION FOR CHANGE OF
INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

13 v.

14 SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL
UNION, LOCAL 715,

15 Respondent
16 _____/

17
18 Before the Court is petitioners' "Motion for Change of Intradistrict Assignment," filed
19 January 16, 2008, by which petitioners seek, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(f),
20 reassignment of the instant petition to the San Jose Division of the Northern District.
21 Respondent has filed opposition. Having read and considered the papers filed in support of
22 and in opposition to the motion, the Court rules as follows.


23 Pursuant to the Local Rules of this District, a civil action that arises in Santa Clara
24 County "shall be assigned to the San Jose Division." See Civil L.R. 3-2(e). Petitioners
25 assert, and respondent does not dispute, that all of acts and omissions giving rise to the
26 instant action took place in Santa Clara County. Nonetheless, respondent argues, transfer
27 is inappropriate because, the instant action having been pending in the San Francisco
28 Division for three months, transfer would waste judicial resources and would prejudice

1 respondent. The undersigned has not had occasion to address any substantive claims in
2 the instant matter, however, and, consequently, transfer would not waste judicial resources.
3 Further, there is no showing respondent has taken any action that would need to be
4 repeated if the matter were transferred to the proper Division, and, consequently, there is
5 no showing transfer would result in any prejudice to respondent.¹

6 Accordingly, the motion is hereby GRANTED, and the matter is hereby
7 TRANSFERRED to the San Jose Division, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(f).

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 Dated: January 31, 2008


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹The parties did prepare a Joint Case Management Conference Statement shortly before petitioner filed the instant motion. (See Harland Decl. Ex. A.) Upon reassignment, however, such statement may be refiled with minimal changes thereto.